

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/764,712	01/18/2001	Paul W. Dent	8194-36DVCT	7572	
20792 MYERS BIGE	7590 07/25/2007 L SIBLEY & SAJOVEC		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 3742	8		NGUYEN, TOAN D		
RALEIGH, NO	2/62/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
•				·······	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·			حكم
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · ·
	09/764,712	DENT, PAUL W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Toan D. Nguyen	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation approach for Reply	pears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, many will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. e, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	April 2007.	•	
·- ·	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (D.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	Ţ	1	
4) Claim(s) 20,21,30-32 and 34-42 is/are pending	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6) Claim(s) 20,21,30-32 and 34-42 is/are rejected	d.	,	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 2001 is/are		objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the draw	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attacl	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	en received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		not received	•
oce the attached detailed office action for a list	of the sertified copies i	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 20, 31-32, and 34-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritter et al. (US 5,561,842) in view of Prabhu et al. (US 4,479,226).

For claims 20 and 31, Ritter et al. disclose mobile radio network, comprising:
communicating between the plurality of base stations and radiotelephones (col. 1
lines 5-11) using a common plurality of spreading codes (col. 1 line 55), wherein each
base station uses the common plurality of spreading codes (col. 1 lines 46-55).

However, Ritter et al. do not expressly disclose allocating cellular radiotelephone frequencies among said plurality of base stations according to a first frequency allocation system for a first one of said spreading codes and according to a second frequency allocation system different from said first frequency allocation system for a second one of said spreading codes. In an analogous art, Prabhu et al. disclose allocating cellular radiotelephone frequencies among said plurality of base stations according to a first frequency allocation system for a first one of said spreading codes and according to a second frequency allocation system different from said first frequency allocation system for a second one of said spreading codes (col. 7 lines 6-9).

Art Unit: 2616

Prabhu et al. disclose wherein the first frequency allocation system comprise a first frequency reuse pattern, and wherein the second frequency allocation system comprises a second frequency reuse pattern (figure 5, col. 6 lines 27-29 as set forth in claim 31).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the allocating cellular radiotelephone frequencies among said plurality of base stations according to a first frequency allocation system for a first one of said spreading codes and according to a second frequency allocation system different from said first frequency allocation system for a second one of said spreading codes, and would have applied Prabhu et al.'s assignment code in Ritter et al.'s dynamic channel allocation based on the CDMA. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Prabhu et al.'s frequency-hopped single sideband mobile radio system in Ritter et al.'s mobile radio network with the motivation being transmit a different carrier frequency sequence assignment code to the mobile as it enters its cell (col. 7 lines 7-9).

For claims 32 and 34, Ritter et al. disclose mobile radio network, wherein the step of allocating frequencies for use in the plurality of cells comprises:

applying a first frequency reuse pattern for the first spreading code (figures 4a-b, references k_1 - k_5 , col. 3 lines 44-60).

However, Ritter et al. do not expressly disclose applying a second frequency reuse pattern for the second spreading code; and allocating frequencies for use in the plurality of cells such that respective different frequency allocations are provided for

respective first and second spreading codes used in each of the cells. In an analogous art, Prabhu et al. disclose applying a second frequency reuse pattern for the second spreading code (col. 6 lines 27-30); and allocating frequencies for use in the plurality of cells (col. 7 lines 2-3) such that respective different frequency allocations are provided for respective first and second spreading codes used in each of the cells (col. 7 lines 7-9).

Ritter et al. disclose wherein the step of allocating comprises:

adaptively allocating frequencies for use with the first spreading code according to a first adaptive allocation scheme (figures 4a-b, references k_1 - k_5 , col. 3 lines 44-60); and Prabhu et al. in view of Ritter et al. disclose adaptively allocating frequencies for use with the second spreading code according to a second adaptive allocation scheme (col. 6 lines 27-30 as set forth in claim 34).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the second frequency reuse pattern for the second spreading code; and allocating frequencies for use in the plurality of cells such that respective different frequency allocations are provided for respective first and second spreading codes used in each of the cells, and would have applied Prabhu et al.'s assignment code in Ritter et al.'s dynamic channel allocation based on the CDMA. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Prabhu et al.'s frequency-hopped single sideband mobile radio system in Ritter et al.'s mobile radio network with the motivation being transmit a different carrier frequency sequence assignment code to the mobile as it enters its cell (col. 7 lines 7-9).

Art Unit: 2616

For claim 35, Ritter et al. disclose wherein said first and said second spreading codes comprises one of plurality of direct-sequence-modulation codes, a plurality of frequency-hopping codes, and a plurality of combined frequency-hopping/direct-sequence-modulation codes (col. 2 lines 3-4).

For claim 36, Ritter et al. disclose mobile radio network, comprising:

a plurality of code division multiple access (CDMA) cellular radiotelephone base stations (col. 1 lines 62-64) that communicate with radiotelephones on a plurality of frequencies (col. 1 lines 5-11), the base stations each using a common plurality of spreading codes and using the frequencies that are allocated among said plurality of base stations (col. 1 lines 46-55).

However, Ritter et al. do not expressly disclose frequencies are allocated for a first one of said spreading codes according to a first frequency allocation system and are allocated for a second one of said spreading codes according to a second frequency allocation system different from said first frequency allocation system. In an analogous art, Prabhu et al. disclose frequencies (col. 7 lines 2-3) are allocated for a first one of said spreading codes according to a first frequency allocation system and are allocated for a second one of said spreading codes according to a second frequency allocation system different from said first frequency allocation system (col. 7 lines 6-9).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the frequencies are allocated for a first one of said spreading codes according to a first frequency allocation system and are allocated for a second one of said spreading codes according to a second

frequency allocation system different from said first frequency allocation system, and would have applied Prabhu et al.'s assignment code in Ritter et al.'s dynamic channel allocation based on the CDMA. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Prabhu et al.'s frequency-hopped single sideband mobile radio system in Ritter et al.'s mobile radio network with the motivation being transmit a different carrier frequency sequence assignment code to the mobile as it enters its cell (col. 7 lines 7-9).

For claim 37, Ritter et al. disclose wherein said common plurality of spreading codes is one of a plurality of direct-sequence-modulation codes, a plurality of frequency-hopping codes, and a plurality of combined frequency-hopping/direct-sequence-modulation codes (col. 2 lines 3-4).

For claim 38, Ritter et al. disclose wherein said first frequency allocation has a number of subscribers, and wherein said plurality of code division multiple access (CDMA) cellular radiotelephone base stations operate responsive to said number of subscribers of said first frequency allocation system such that cellular radiotelephone frequencies are allocated among said plurality of base stations according to said first frequency allocation system for a third one of said synchronized spreading codes (figures 4a-b, references k_1 - k_5 , col. 3 lines 44-60).

For claims 39 and 40, Ritter et al. disclose mobile radio network, comprising:

- a plurality of cells (col. 1 lines 5-7); and
- a code reuse partitioning circuit (figure 4a-b, col. 3 lines 44-60).

Art Unit: 2616

However, Ritter et al. do not expressly disclose allocate frequencies for use in the plurality of cell such that respective different frequency allocations are provided for respective first and second spreading codes used in each of the cells. In an analogous art, Prabhu et al. disclose allocate frequencies for use in the plurality of cell such that respective different frequency allocations are provided for respective first and second spreading codes used in each of the cells (col. 7 lines 6-9).

Ritter et al. disclose wherein the code reuse partitioning circuit is operative to apply a first frequency reuse pattern for a first spreading code (figures 4a-b, col. 3 lines 44-60) and Prabhu et al. disclose apply a second frequency reuse pattern (col. 6 lines 27-30) for a second spreading code (col. 7 lines 7-9 as set forth in claim 40).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the allocate frequencies for use in the plurality of cell such that respective different frequency allocations are provided for respective first and second spreading codes used in each of the cells, and would have applied Prabhu et al.'s assignment code in Ritter et al.'s dynamic channel allocation based on the CDMA. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Prabhu et al.'s frequency-hopped single sideband mobile radio system in Ritter et al.'s mobile radio network with the motivation being transmit a different carrier frequency sequence assignment code to the mobile as it enters its cell (col. 7 lines 7-9).

For claim 41, Ritter et al. disclose wherein the code reuse partitioning circuit is operative to adaptively allocating frequencies for use with the first spreading code according to a first adaptive allocation scheme and to adaptively allocating frequencies

Art Unit: 2616

for use with the second spreading code according to a second adaptive allocation scheme (figures 4a-b, col. 3 lines 44-60).

For claim 42, Ritter et al. disclose wherein the first spreading code and the second spreading codes comprises one of plurality of direct-sequence-modulation codes, a plurality of frequency-hopping codes, and a plurality of combined frequency-hopping/direct-sequence-modulation codes (col. 2 lines 3-4).

3. Claims 21 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ritter et al. (US 5,561,842) in view of Prabhu et al. (US 4,479,226) further in view of Kaufmann et al. (US 4,984,247).

For claims 21 and 30, Ritter et al. in view of Prabhu et al. do not expressly disclose wherein said step of allocating is preceded by a step of synchronizing said plurality of spreading codes among said plurality of base stations so that said periods of said plurality of spreading codes are concurrent, to produce synchronized spreading codes among said plurality of base stations. In an analogous art, Kaufmann et al. disclose wherein said step of allocating is preceded by a step of synchronizing said plurality of spreading codes among said plurality of base stations so that said periods of said plurality of spreading codes are concurrent, to produce synchronized spreading codes among said plurality of base stations (col. 7 lines 4-9).

Kaufmann et al. disclose the step of synchronizing said common plurality of spreading codes (col. 7 lines 4-9 as set forth in claim 30).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said step of allocating is preceded by a step of synchronizing said plurality of spreading codes among said

Application/Control Number: 09/764,712 Page 9

Art Unit: 2616

plurality of base stations so that said periods of said plurality of spreading codes are concurrent, to produce synchronized spreading codes among said plurality of base stations, and would have applied Kaufmann et al.'s code generators in Ritter et al.'s dynamic channel allocation based on the CDMA. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Kaufmann et al.'s digital radio transmission system for a cellular network, using the spread spectrum method in Ritter et al.'s mobile radio network with the motivation being to make full use of the advantage of the optimized codes (col. 7 lines 4-5).

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-21, 30-32, and 34-42 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00AM-4:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Buşiness Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 10

TN TN

HUY D. VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT 2600
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

udlhus